

Compared States District Court 1 | Filed 06/03/11 | Page 1 of 3 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | 1 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 5 |

Attorney I.D.#

dress of Plaintiff:			
			
idress of Defendant:			
ace of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)		
oes this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party wit		d corporation own: Yes	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordan	ice wim Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(2))	Yes	No.
		-	
oes this ease involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?		Yes□	№□
ELASTED CASE, IF ANY:			
ise Namber: MDL 1871-TR Judge Rufe	Date Terminated:		
vil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the	following questions:		
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered s	uit pending or within one year previously te		
		Yes	
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the	same transaction as a prior suit pending or w	ithin one year prev Yes	
action in this court?		•	
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent al	ready in suit or any earlier numbered case pe		
terminated action in this court?		Yes	No LJ
VIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	,		
Federal Question Cases:		Jurisdiction Cases:	
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract		ance Contract and	
FELA		ane Personal Injury	•
Jones Act-Personal Injury		it, Defamation	
Antitrust	_	e Personal Injury	
Patent	/	Vehicle Personal	
Labor-Management Relations	1 _/	Personal Injury (P.	lease specify)
Civil Rights	\	cts Liability	
Habeas Corpus		cts Liability — As	
Securities Act(s) Cases		her Diversity Cases	
Social Security Review Cases	(Please	specify)	12011
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)		0 / 0	7011
ARBIT	RATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category)		
	of record do hereby certify:		
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to ceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	the best of my knowledge and belief, the dan	nages recoverable i	n this civil action case
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.			
TE:			
Attorney-at-I	.aw	Attorr	iey I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a tri	al by jury only if there has been comp	liance with F.R	.C.P, 38.

SENIAL OUR VILL

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

11-3595

MDL No. 1871

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

FILED

JUN - 3 2011

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-92)

AMCHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk By Dep. Clerk

On October 16, 2007, the Panel transferred 2 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. See 528 F.Supp.2d 1339 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 1,548 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Rufe.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of October 16, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jun 01, 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1871

SCHEDULE CTO-92 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION		
FLORIDA SOUTHERN					
FLS	9	10-81422	Klutzz v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation		
FLS	9	10-81426	Siebert et al v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation d/b/a Glaxosmithkline		
FLS	9	10-81427	Olszewski v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation d/b/a Glaxosmithkline		
FLS	9	10-81428	Harding et al v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation		
FLS	9	10-81430	Johnson et al v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation		
FLS	9	10-81442	Morton v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation		
FLS	9	10-81454	Smith Garth v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation		
FLS	9	10-81456	Roberson v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation		
FLS	9	10-81458	Carter v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation		
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN					
MSN	1	11-00100	Stephens v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation		
NEW JERSEY					
NJ	2	11-02604	RAMIREZ v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al		
NEW YORK EASTERN					
NYE	1	11-02300	Quraan v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation		
TEXAS EASTERN					
TXE	2	11-00206	Boyd v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation et al		
TXE	2	11-00215	Knight et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corp. et al		
	_	11 00-10	Angui o ai i Simundino Booman Corp. o ai		
TEXAS SOUTHERN					
TXS	4	11-01352	Powers v. SmithKline Beecham Corp et al		